

Appln. No. 09/781,554
Office Action dated August 6, 2004
Amendment dated November 8, 2004

REMARKS

The present amendment is submitted in response to the outstanding Office Action dated August 6, 2004, and is believed to be fully responsive to the objections and rejections raised therein. In view of the enclosed amendments and the comments that follow, favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1-17, 23-38, 40-47, 53-67, 71, and 87-96 were allowed. Claims 18-22, 39, 48-52, 68-70 and 72-86 were rejected.

In particular, claims 18-22, 39, 48-52, 68-70 and 72-86 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In response, claim 18 has been amended to add the word "in" after the word "contain" in line 4. Claim 22 was noted as lacking proper antecedent basis for the term "the at least one identified object". The Examiner noted that it was unclear whether this claim should depend from claim 18 instead of claim 17. In response, claim 22 has been amended to depend from claim 18 instead of claim 17 to provide such proper antecedent basis.

With regard to claim 39, this claim has been amended to depend from claim 31 instead of claim 21, in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion.

With regard to claim 48, this claim has been amended to include the word "in" after the word "contain" in line 6.

With regard to claim 52, this claim has been amended to depend from claim 48 instead of claim 47, thereby providing proper antecedent basis for the term "the at least one identified

Appln. No. 09/781,554
Office Action dated August 6, 2004
Amendment dated November 8, 2004

object".

With regard to claims 68 and 72, the term "client multicast cache" has been amended to read -- client content cache --.

With regard to claim 77, this claim has been amended to delete the term "received" in lines 10 and 12 so that it simply recites "the selected page" which has proper antecedent basis. Furthermore, in line 15 of claim 77, the term "the client application" has been amended to recite - the client application that requested the selected page --. Similar amendments have been made to same term in claims 78, 79 and 83. Applicant submits that such an amendment provides the proper antecedent basis and makes clear that the client application that is recited in claim 2 is being referred to in line 15 of claim 77 and line 2 of claim 78, 79 and 83.

As noted in paragraph 4 of the Office Action, claims 18-22, 39, 48-52, 68-70 and 72-86 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. In view of the foregoing amendments, Applicant submits that these claims have been so amended and therefore, these claims are now in condition for allowance.

The present amendment is submitted within the three month period for responding to the Office Action. Applicant hereby petitions for any extensions of time and the Patent Office is authorized to debit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733 any fees necessary to maintain pendency of the present application, with the exception of the Issue Fee.


Appln. No. 09/781,554
Office Action dated August 6, 2004
Amendment dated November 8, 2004

If there are any issues remaining which can thus be solved by a personal call or a telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the local telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 8, 2004

By:


Douglas W. Robinson
Registration No. 32,751

DWR/jlg

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
1001 G Street N.W., 11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20001
Phone: (202) 824-3000
Fax: (202) 824-3001